SINGLE BENCH (2) (4)

100/-

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)
(S)
WRIT PETITION NO. 3817/2006

PETITIONER — Shivnath Ram, aged about 51 years, son of Shri Muratram occupation Service, presently working as Revenue Inspector, Revenue Circle No.1, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3874/06
Presented by Shri ... 24/7/06
dated ... Signature

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Deputy Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Commissioner (Land Records), Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Collector, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
24/7/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/06
24/07/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT / WRITS, ORDER / ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS ETC.

(24)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP...2817/06.. **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	4.8.2006:- Shri Bhaskar Payashi, counsel for the petitioner. Miss Deepali Pandey, P.L. for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By this petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 7-7-2006 whereby he has been transferred from Revenue Circle Ambikapur, District Surguja to Tehsil Kusmi, District Surguja. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even though the petitioner has been transferred within the district of Surguja but the petitioner has been transferred in Tehsil Kusmi where he has already worked for 6 years, and on account of threat given by the Naxalites the petitioner had sought transfer from Tehsil Kusmi. Considering his request, the petitioner was transferred to Ambikapur. The petitioner has again been transferred to Tehsil Kusmi vide impugned order. The petitioner has made a representation to the respondent No.1, therefore, respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation made by the petitioner, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation made by the petitioner on 22-7-2006 within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2976/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge	
Barve		P.T.O.